

κ -deformed complex scalar field: from theory to phenomenology ¹²

Andrea Bevilacqua

NCBJ Warsaw

03/2023

¹M. Arzano, **A. B.**, J. Kowalski-Glikman, G. Rosati, and J. Unger, κ -deformed complex fields and discrete symmetries. *Phys.Rev.D*, 103:106015


²**A.B.**, J. Kowalski-Glikman, and W. Wislicki, κ -deformed complex scalar field: conserved charges, symmetries and their impact on physical observables. *Phys.Rev.D*, 105:105004. [▶](#) 

Table of Contents

- Motivation
- Introduction, action, and fields

Table of Contents

- Motivation
- Introduction, action, and fields
- Properties of the fields under C , P , T

Table of Contents

- Motivation
- Introduction, action, and fields
- Properties of the fields under C , P , T
- Symplectic form and $[a, a^\dagger]$, $[b, b^\dagger]$

Table of Contents

- Motivation
- Introduction, action, and fields
- Properties of the fields under C , P , T
- Symplectic form and $[a, a^\dagger]$, $[b, b^\dagger]$
- Charges and how to compute them

Table of Contents

- Motivation
- Introduction, action, and fields
- Properties of the fields under C , P , T
- Symplectic form and $[a, a^\dagger]$, $[b, b^\dagger]$
- Charges and how to compute them
- Covariant phase space formalism for charges

Table of Contents

- Motivation
- Introduction, action, and fields
- Properties of the fields under C , P , T
- Symplectic form and $[a, a^\dagger]$, $[b, b^\dagger]$
- Charges and how to compute them
- Covariant phase space formalism for charges
- Conclusion and future works

Motivation

- We have gravity, and we have quantum mechanics. A general theory of nature should naturally encompass both.

- We have gravity, and we have quantum mechanics. A general theory of nature should naturally encompass both.
- Difficult to study quantum gravity directly, as there are many issues! What to do?

- We have gravity, and we have quantum mechanics. A general theory of nature should naturally encompass both.
- Difficult to study quantum gravity directly, as there are many issues! What to do?
- Find a physical regime where one can build a ‘potential’ effective theory of quantum gravity, and study that one!

- We have gravity, and we have quantum mechanics. A general theory of nature should naturally encompass both.
- Difficult to study quantum gravity directly, as there are many issues! What to do?
- Find a physical regime where one can build a ‘potential’ effective theory of quantum gravity, and study that one!
- One such theory is based on κ -Minkowski spacetime.

- We have gravity, and we have quantum mechanics. A general theory of nature should naturally encompass both.
- Difficult to study quantum gravity directly, as there are many issues! What to do?
- Find a physical regime where one can build a ‘potential’ effective theory of quantum gravity, and study that one!
- One such theory is based on κ -Minkowski spacetime.
- We will see how to start from the basics in this model, and build up to physical predictions.

Introduction, action, and fields

Preamble: What is a Lie algebra? What is a group?

An algebra \mathfrak{g} is a set of objects such that if $A, B \in \mathfrak{g}$ then $A \bullet B, (A + B) \in \mathfrak{g}$. If one chooses

$$A_i \bullet A_j := [A_i, A_j] = f_{ijk}A_k.$$

and Jacobi identity \implies Lie algebra.

Example: Angular momentum generators J_i , $[J_i, J_j] = i\epsilon_{ijk}J_k$.
The type of commutator gives the name to the algebra.

Preamble: What is a Lie algebra? What is a group?

An algebra \mathfrak{g} is a set of objects such that if $A, B \in \mathfrak{g}$ then $A \bullet B, (A + B) \in \mathfrak{g}$. If one chooses

$$A_i \bullet A_j := [A_i, A_j] = f_{ijk}A_k.$$

and Jacobi identity \implies Lie algebra.

Example: Angular momentum generators J_i , $[J_i, J_j] = i\epsilon_{ijk}J_k$.
The type of commutator gives the name to the algebra.

(Intuitively and sometimes false) if $A \in \mathfrak{g}$, then $e^{iA} \in G$ where G is a group. Example: the real number form a trivial Lie algebra (if $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, then $a + b \in \mathbb{R}$, and also $[a, b] = 0 \in \mathbb{R}$) and their complex exponential e^{ia} form the group $U(1)$.

κ -Minkowski spacetime and momentum space picture

Non-commutative coordinates: $[\hat{x}^0, \hat{x}^i] = \frac{i}{\kappa} \hat{x}^i$ ($\mathfrak{an}(3)$ algebra)

Physical insight: $[\frac{1}{\kappa}] = L$. However, this κ -deformed theory is intended as an effective theory modelling quantum gravitational effects $\implies \frac{1}{\kappa} \approx l_p$. The *formal* limit ' $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$ ' gives the 'classical' limit.

κ -Minkowski spacetime and momentum space picture

Non-commutative coordinates: $[\hat{x}^0, \hat{x}^i] = \frac{i}{\kappa} \hat{x}^i$ ($\mathfrak{an}(3)$ algebra)

Physical insight: $[\frac{1}{\kappa}] = L$. However, this κ -deformed theory is intended as an effective theory modelling quantum gravitational effects $\implies \frac{1}{\kappa} \approx l_p$. The *formal* limit ' $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$ ' gives the 'classical' limit.

$$\hat{x}^0 = -\frac{i}{\kappa} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{0} & 1 \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ 1 & \mathbf{0} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \hat{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{i}{\kappa} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \epsilon^T & 0 \\ \epsilon & \mathbf{0} & \epsilon \\ 0 & -\epsilon^T & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

κ -Minkowski spacetime and momentum space picture

Non-commutative coordinates: $[\hat{x}^0, \hat{x}^i] = \frac{i}{\kappa} \hat{x}^i$ ($\mathfrak{an}(3)$ algebra)

Physical insight: $[\frac{1}{\kappa}] = L$. However, this κ -deformed theory is intended as an effective theory modelling quantum gravitational effects $\implies \frac{1}{\kappa} \approx l_p$. The *formal* limit ' $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$ ' gives the 'classical' limit.

$$\hat{x}^0 = -\frac{i}{\kappa} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{0} & 1 \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ 1 & \mathbf{0} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \hat{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{i}{\kappa} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \epsilon^T & 0 \\ \epsilon & \mathbf{0} & \epsilon \\ 0 & -\epsilon^T & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\hat{e}_k = e^{ik_i \hat{x}^i} e^{ik_0 \hat{x}^0} \in AN(3) \quad \leftarrow \quad \text{Time to the right} + \text{dim.ful}$$

κ -Minkowski spacetime and momentum space picture

Non-commutative coordinates: $[\hat{x}^0, \hat{x}^i] = \frac{i}{\kappa} \hat{x}^i$ ($\mathfrak{an}(3)$ algebra)

Physical insight: $[\frac{1}{\kappa}] = L$. However, this κ -deformed theory is intended as an effective theory modelling quantum gravitational effects $\implies \frac{1}{\kappa} \approx l_p$. The *formal* limit ' $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$ ' gives the 'classical' limit.

$$\hat{x}^0 = -\frac{i}{\kappa} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{0} & 1 \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ 1 & \mathbf{0} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \hat{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{i}{\kappa} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \epsilon^T & 0 \\ \epsilon & \mathbf{0} & \epsilon \\ 0 & -\epsilon^T & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\hat{e}_k = e^{ik_i \hat{x}^i} e^{ik_0 \hat{x}^0} \in AN(3) \quad \leftarrow \quad \text{Time to the right} + \text{dim.ful}$$

$$e^{A\hat{x}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(A\hat{x})^n}{n!} \quad \leftarrow \quad \text{Definition of exp}$$

$$\hat{e}_k = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\bar{p}_4}{\kappa} & \frac{\mathbf{k}}{\kappa} & \frac{p_0}{\kappa} \\ \frac{\mathbf{p}}{\kappa} & \mathbf{1} & \frac{\mathbf{p}}{\kappa} \\ \frac{\bar{p}_0}{\kappa} & -\frac{\mathbf{k}}{\kappa} & \frac{p_4}{\kappa} \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{aligned} p_0 &= \kappa \sinh \frac{k_0}{\kappa} + \frac{\mathbf{k}^2}{2\kappa} e^{k_0/\kappa} \\ p_i &= k_i e^{k_0/\kappa} \\ p_4 &= \kappa \cosh \frac{k_0}{\kappa} - \frac{\mathbf{k}^2}{2\kappa} e^{k_0/\kappa} \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\hat{e}_k \Leftrightarrow (p_0, p_i, p_4)^T$ and if $\mathcal{O} = (0, \dots, 0, \kappa)^T$ then $(p_0, p_i, p_4)^T = \hat{e}_k \mathcal{O}$, and

$$-p_0^2 + \mathbf{p}^2 + p_4^2 = \kappa^2$$

$$p_4 > 0, \quad p_+ := p_0 + p_4 > 0$$

Notice: both the k_A and the p_A can be interpreted as coordinates in (intrinsically curved) momentum space, and their sum is now non-trivial.

$$\hat{e}_k \hat{e}_l := \hat{e}_{k \oplus l} \quad \leftarrow \quad \text{Group property}$$

$$(k \oplus l)_0 = k_0 + l_0$$

$$(k \oplus l)_i = k_i + e^{-k_0/\kappa} l_i$$

$$(p \oplus q)_0 = \frac{p_0}{\kappa} q_+ + \frac{\mathbf{p}\mathbf{q}}{p_+} + \frac{\kappa}{p_+} q_0$$

$$(p \oplus q)_i = \frac{\mathbf{p}_i}{\kappa} q_+ + \mathbf{q}_i$$

$$(p \oplus q)_4 = \frac{p_4}{\kappa} q_+ - \frac{\mathbf{p}\mathbf{q}}{p_+} - \frac{\kappa}{p_+} q_0$$

For similar reasons, $-(.) \mapsto S(.)$ with $p \oplus S(p) = S(p) \oplus p = 0$.

Why p and not k ? Using p , we can now work in a **commutative** spacetime.

In particular, using an object called Weyl map, one can send a group element \hat{e}_k into a canonical plane wave e_p

$$\mathcal{W}(\hat{e}_k) = e_p \quad e_p = e^{ip_\mu x^\mu} = e^{i(\omega t - \mathbf{p}\mathbf{x})}$$

$$\mathcal{W}(\hat{e}_{k \oplus l}) = e_{p(k) \oplus q(l)} = e_p \star e_q$$

This \star product is in general non-commutative.

Action, EOM, and fields

Because of the star product we have two possible orderings
 \implies two possible actions.

$$S_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} d^4x (\partial^\mu \phi)^\dagger \star (\partial_\mu \phi) - m^2 \phi^\dagger \star \phi$$

$$S_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} d^4x (\partial_\mu \phi) \star (\partial^\mu \phi)^\dagger - m^2 \phi \star \phi^\dagger.$$

Therefore

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} d^4x [(\partial^\mu \phi)^\dagger \star (\partial_\mu \phi) + (\partial^\mu \phi) \star (\partial_\mu \phi)^\dagger - m^2(\phi^\dagger \star \phi + \phi \star \phi^\dagger)]$$

How to compute the EOM? Usually, one uses integration by parts. Here, however, the Leibniz rule for derivatives does not work!

$$\begin{aligned}i(p \oplus q)_\mu e_{p \oplus q} &= \partial_\mu(e_p \star e_q) = (\partial_\mu e_p) \star e_q + e_p \star \partial_\mu e_q \\ &= i(p + q)_\mu e_{p \oplus q}\end{aligned}$$

Instead, more complicated rules need to be applied. Example:

$$\partial_0(\phi \star \psi) = \frac{1}{\kappa}(\partial_0 \phi) \star (\Delta_+ \psi) + \kappa(\Delta_+^{-1} \phi) \star (\partial_0 \psi) + i(\Delta_+^{-1} \partial_i \phi) \star (\partial_i \psi)$$

After some computations, we obtain the following equations of motion

$$(\partial_\mu \partial^\mu - m^2)\phi = 0$$

The field satisfies the Klein-Gordon equations.

Any complex scalar field satisfying these eom can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(x) = & \int \frac{d^3p}{\sqrt{2\omega_p}} \xi(p) a_{\mathbf{p}} e^{-i(\omega_p t - \mathbf{p}\mathbf{x})} \\ & + \int \frac{d^3p^*}{\sqrt{2|\omega_p^*|}} \xi(p) b_{\mathbf{p}^*}^\dagger e^{i(\mathbf{S}(\omega_p^*)t - \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{p}^*)\mathbf{x})}\end{aligned}$$

Short summary up to now: We have **fields**, they satisfy the **KG** equations, and we know how to **integrate by parts**.

What do we need now: How do the fields (and the **creation/annihilation** operators) behave under C, P, T ? What is the commutator between **creation/annihilation** operators? In other words, what are the properties of $a, a^\dagger, b, b^\dagger$?

Properties of the fields under C, P, T

Properties of the fields under C, P, T

How do κ -deformed fields transform? P and T can consistently be defined as acting like in the undeformed case (they leave $[\hat{x}^0, \hat{x}^i] = \frac{i}{\kappa} \hat{x}^i$ invariant)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}\phi(t, \mathbf{x})\mathcal{T}^{-1} = \phi(-t, \mathbf{x}) &\implies \mathcal{T}a_{\mathbf{p}}\mathcal{T}^{-1} = a_{-\mathbf{p}} \\ \mathcal{P}\phi(t, \mathbf{x})\mathcal{P}^{-1} = \phi(t, -\mathbf{x}) &\implies \mathcal{P}a_{\mathbf{p}}\mathcal{P}^{-1} = a_{-\mathbf{p}} \end{aligned}$$

Because of the presence of the antipode $S(\cdot)$ in the fields and because of the form of the action (**sum of the two orderings**), also C can be shown to behave like in the undeformed case (in its action on $a, a^\dagger, b, b^\dagger$) when acting on fields.

$$\mathcal{C}\phi(t, \mathbf{x})\mathcal{C}^{-1} = \phi(t, \mathbf{x})^\dagger \implies \boxed{\mathcal{C}a_{\mathbf{p}}\mathcal{C}^{-1} = b_{\mathbf{p}^*}}$$

The action is manifestly **invariant** under C, P, T and under (deformed) Lorentz transformations.

Symplectic form and $[a, a^\dagger]$, $[b, b^\dagger]$

Symplectic form and $[a, a^\dagger]$, $[b, b^\dagger]$

To proceed we need the symplectic form. What is it? It is a 2-form Ω in **phase space** which is *closed* (i.e. $d\Omega = 0$).

Why do we need it? Because, given a (non-degenerate) symplectic form $\Omega = \omega_{ij} dq^i \wedge dp^j$ then one can define

$$\{A, B\} = \omega^{ij} \frac{\partial A}{\partial Q^i} \frac{\partial B}{\partial Q^j}.$$

($Q = (p_1, \dots, q_1, \dots)$). In general, the inverse of ω_{ij} can be used to define **Poisson brackets** \rightarrow **commutator**.

How to get it? From the surface term coming from the variation of the action (no details here)!

How can one **concretely** obtain Poisson brackets from the symplectic form? Example: two spatial dimensions. We have $Q = (p^1, p^2, x^1, x^2)$

$$\Omega = \frac{1}{2} \omega_{ab} dQ^a \wedge dQ^b = f dp^1 \wedge dx^1 + g dp^2 \wedge dx^2$$

where f, g are chosen such that $d\Omega = 0$. Therefore we have

$$\omega = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & f & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & g \\ -f & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -g & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \implies \omega^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1/f & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1/g \\ 1/f & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/g & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Recall that we have

$$\{A, B\} = (\omega^{-1})^{ab} \frac{\partial A}{\partial Q^a} \frac{\partial B}{\partial Q^b}.$$

One can immediately see from the above relations that

$$\{x^1, p^1\} = \frac{1}{f} \quad \{x^2, p^2\} = \frac{1}{g}$$

In our case, we can additionally use the explicit definition of the fields which appeared previously. The result is very similar to the undeformed case:

$$\Omega = i \int d^3p \alpha (\delta a_{\mathbf{p}} \wedge \delta a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger - \delta b_{\mathbf{p}^*}^\dagger \wedge \delta b_{\mathbf{p}^*}).$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} [a_{\mathbf{p}}, a_{\mathbf{q}}^\dagger] &= \frac{1}{\alpha} \delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q}) \\ [b_{\mathbf{p}^*}, b_{\mathbf{q}^*}^\dagger] &= \frac{1}{\alpha} \delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q}) \end{aligned}$$

Short summary up to now: We have **fields**, they satisfy the **KG** equations, and we know how to **integrate by parts**. We now also know that the **creation/annihilation** operators work reasonably well.

What do we need now: What are the **charges** in our model?

Charges and how to compute them

Charges and how to compute them

We need the charges. How to get them?

- Using the Noether theorem. However, difficult computations (recall integration by parts), so only the translational charges are easily obtainable in this way;

Charges and how to compute them

We need the charges. How to get them?

- Using the Noether theorem. However, difficult computations (recall integration by parts), so only the translational charges are easily obtainable in this way;
- More pragmatic approach: use the canonical formalism (Noether theorem) to compute translational charges, then switch to covariant phase space formalism for the others. Keep in mind, a kind of "matching" is necessary!

Translation charges: direct approach

After some (very long and tedious) computations one finally gets to the following translation charges.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}_0 &= \int d^3p \alpha(p) \left\{ -a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{p}} S(\omega_p) + b_{\mathbf{p}^*}^\dagger b_{\mathbf{p}^*} \omega_p \right\} \\ &\xrightarrow{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \int d^3p \omega_p \left\{ a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{p}} + b_{\mathbf{p}^*}^\dagger b_{\mathbf{p}^*} \right\}\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_i = \int d^3p \alpha(p) \left\{ -a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{p}} S(\mathbf{p})_i + b_{\mathbf{p}^*}^\dagger b_{\mathbf{p}^*} \mathbf{p}_i \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_4 = \int d^3p (p_4 - \kappa) \alpha(p) \left\{ -a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{p}} + b_{\mathbf{p}^*}^\dagger b_{\mathbf{p}^*} \right\}.$$

Notice that in the limit $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$ one gets the canonical $\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{P}_i$.
First hint: particles and antiparticles behave **differently** (but **same mass!**).

Covariant phase space formalism for charges

Assuming that the charges come from a symmetry described by some continuous vector field ξ in spacetime, then

$$-\delta_{\xi} \lrcorner \Omega \stackrel{!}{=} \delta Q_{\xi}$$

where δ is the exterior derivative in phase space, Q_{ξ} is the charge associated to the vector ξ . $\delta_{\xi} A$ measures the infinitesimal variation of the object A in phase space due to the symmetry of the action along ξ in spacetime.

$$-\delta_{\xi} \lrcorner \Omega \stackrel{!}{=} \delta Q_{\xi}$$

Example: Gauge symmetry in electrodynamics:

$$A_{\mu} \mapsto A_{\mu} + \epsilon \partial_{\mu} \Lambda \quad \leftrightarrow \quad A_{\mu} \mapsto A_{\mu} + \delta_{\xi} A_{\mu}$$

$$F_{\mu\nu} \mapsto F_{\mu\nu}$$

A symmetry of the physical system (i.e. of $F_{\mu\nu}$) is not necessarily a symmetry of the field (i.e. A_{μ}). In this case, ξ is the direction along the gauge orbits in phase space.

Example: Translation charge in undeformed context.

$$\Omega^U = i \int d^3p (\delta a_{\mathbf{p}} \wedge \delta a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger - \delta b_{\mathbf{p}^*}^\dagger \wedge \delta b_{\mathbf{p}^*})$$

Example: Translation charge in undeformed context.

$$\Omega^U = i \int d^3p (\delta a_{\mathbf{p}} \wedge \delta a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger - \delta b_{\mathbf{p}^*}^\dagger \wedge \delta b_{\mathbf{p}^*})$$

We know that after a time translation ($\xi = \partial_0$) we have

$$a_{\mathbf{p}} \mapsto e^{i\epsilon\omega_p} a_{\mathbf{p}} = a_{\mathbf{p}} + i\epsilon\omega_p a_{\mathbf{p}}$$

and therefore ($\delta_\xi = \delta_{\partial_0}$)

$$\delta_{\partial_0} a_{\mathbf{p}} = i\epsilon\omega_p a_{\mathbf{p}} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \delta_{\partial_0} a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger = -i\epsilon\omega_p a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger$$

Undeformed case: time translations

$$\begin{aligned} -\delta_{\partial_0} \lrcorner \Omega^U &= -i \int d^3p (\delta_{\partial_0} a_{\mathbf{p}} \delta a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger - \delta a_{\mathbf{p}} \delta_{\partial_0} a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger \\ &\quad - \delta_{\partial_0} b_{\mathbf{p}^*}^\dagger \delta b_{\mathbf{p}^*} + \delta b_{\mathbf{p}^*}^\dagger \delta_{\partial_0} b_{\mathbf{p}^*}) \\ &= -i \int d^3p [i\epsilon\omega_p a_{\mathbf{p}} \delta a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger - \delta a_{\mathbf{p}} (-i\epsilon\omega_p a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger) \\ &\quad - (-i\epsilon\omega_p b_{\mathbf{p}^*}^\dagger) \delta b_{\mathbf{p}^*} + \delta b_{\mathbf{p}^*}^\dagger i\epsilon\omega_p b_{\mathbf{p}^*}] \\ &= \epsilon \delta \int d^3p \omega_p (a_{\mathbf{p}} a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger + b_{\mathbf{p}^*}^\dagger b_{\mathbf{p}^*}) \\ &= \epsilon \mathcal{P}_0 \end{aligned}$$

Example: Boost charges in undeformed context.

$$\Omega^U = i \int d^3p (\delta a_{\mathbf{p}} \wedge \delta a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger - \delta b_{\mathbf{p}^*}^\dagger \wedge \delta b_{\mathbf{p}^*})$$

Example: Boost charges in undeformed context.

$$\Omega^U = i \int d^3p (\delta a_{\mathbf{p}} \wedge \delta a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger - \delta b_{\mathbf{p}^*}^\dagger \wedge \delta b_{\mathbf{p}^*})$$

$$\delta^B a_{\mathbf{p}} = i\omega_{\mathbf{p}} \lambda^j \frac{\partial a_{\mathbf{p}}}{\partial p^j} + i a_{\mathbf{p}} \lambda^j \frac{p_j}{2\omega_{\mathbf{p}}},$$

$$\delta^B a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger = i\omega_{\mathbf{p}} \lambda^j \frac{\partial a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger}{\partial p^j} + i a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger \lambda^j \frac{p_j}{2\omega_{\mathbf{p}}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 -\delta^B \lrcorner \Omega^{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} &= i \int d^3 p (\delta^B a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger \delta a_{\mathbf{p}} - \delta a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger \delta^B a_{\mathbf{p}} - \delta^B b_{\mathbf{p}} \delta b_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger + \delta b_{\mathbf{p}} \delta^B b_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger) \\
 &= \lambda^i \int d^3 p \omega_{\mathbf{p}} \left(\frac{\partial a_{\mathbf{p}}}{\partial \mathbf{p}^i} \delta a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger - \delta a_{\mathbf{p}} \frac{\partial a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger}{\partial \mathbf{p}^i} - \frac{\partial b_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger}{\partial \mathbf{p}^i} \delta b_{\mathbf{p}} + \delta b_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger \frac{\partial b_{\mathbf{p}}}{\partial \mathbf{p}^i} \right) \\
 &\quad + \lambda^i \int d^3 p \frac{\mathbf{p}_i}{2\omega_{\mathbf{p}}} \left(a_{\mathbf{p}} \delta a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger - \delta a_{\mathbf{p}} a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger + \delta b_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger b_{\mathbf{p}} - b_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger \delta b_{\mathbf{p}} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Apparent issue! Recall that $-\delta_{\xi} \lrcorner \Omega \stackrel{!}{=} \delta Q_{\xi}$

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial a_{\mathbf{p}}}{\partial \mathbf{p}^i} \delta a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger - \delta a_{\mathbf{p}} \frac{\partial a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger}{\partial \mathbf{p}^i} &= \frac{1}{2} \delta \left(\frac{\partial a_{\mathbf{p}}}{\partial \mathbf{p}^i} a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger - a_{\mathbf{p}} \frac{\partial a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger}{\partial \mathbf{p}^i} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{p}^i} \left(a_{\mathbf{p}} \delta a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger - \delta a_{\mathbf{p}} a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger \right). \end{aligned}$$

The second term on the RHS after integration by parts becomes

$$-\frac{\mathbf{p}^i}{2\omega_{\mathbf{p}}} \left(a_{\mathbf{p}} \delta a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger - \delta a_{\mathbf{p}} a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger \right)$$

which cancels with the second term in the previous expression.

The final boost charge is given by

$$\mathcal{N}_i^{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3p \omega_{\mathbf{p}} \left(\frac{\partial a_{\mathbf{p}}}{\partial \mathbf{p}^i} a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger - a_{\mathbf{p}} \frac{\partial a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger}{\partial \mathbf{p}^i} - \frac{\partial b_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger}{\partial \mathbf{p}^i} b_{\mathbf{p}} + b_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger \frac{\partial b_{\mathbf{p}}}{\partial \mathbf{p}^i} \right).$$

in accordance with the literature.

We now start from the following transformations describing time translations.

$$\begin{aligned}\delta^T a_{\mathbf{p}} &= i\epsilon^\mu p_\mu a_{\mathbf{p}}, & \delta^T a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger &= i\epsilon^\mu S(p)_\mu a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger, \\ \delta^T b_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger &= i\epsilon^\mu S(p)_\mu b_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger, & \delta^T b_{\mathbf{p}} &= i\epsilon^\mu p_\mu b_{\mathbf{p}}.\end{aligned}$$

Notice the antipode! Therefore, a naive application of the previous procedure would **not** give a consistent result (no quantity Q_ξ such that $-\delta_\xi \lrcorner \Omega \stackrel{!}{=} \delta Q_\xi$). ‘Matching’ with the direct computation needed! We will need to introduce the antipode in the contraction of a vector field with a 2-form.

Deformed case: translations

We postulate the following rule

$$\delta_{\xi \lrcorner} (\delta a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger \wedge \delta a_{\mathbf{p}}) = (\delta_{\xi} a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger) \delta a_{\mathbf{p}} + \delta a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger [S(\delta_{\xi}) a_{\mathbf{p}}]$$

which solves the issue!

$$\begin{aligned} & - \delta^T \lrcorner \Omega \\ &= i \int d^3 p \alpha (\delta^T a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger \delta a_{\mathbf{p}} + \delta a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger S(\delta^T) a_{\mathbf{p}} - \delta^T b_{\mathbf{p}} \delta b_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger - \delta b_{\mathbf{p}} S(\delta^T) b_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger) \\ &= -\epsilon^\mu \delta \left(\int d^3 p \alpha [S(p)_\mu a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger \delta a_{\mathbf{p}} - p_\mu b_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger \delta b_{\mathbf{p}}] \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_\mu = \int d^3 p \alpha [-S(p)_\mu a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{p}} + p_\mu b_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger b_{\mathbf{p}}],$$

In the case of boosts, we need to assume the following transformations for the creation/annihilation transformations

$$\delta^B a_{\mathbf{p}} = -i\lambda^j \omega_{\mathbf{p}} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{p}^j} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{p}}} \frac{\partial[\omega_{\mathbf{p}} S(\alpha)]}{\partial \mathbf{p}^j} \right] a_{\mathbf{p}},$$

$$\delta^B a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger = -i\lambda^j S(\omega_{\mathbf{p}}) \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial S(\mathbf{p})^j} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{S(\omega_{\mathbf{p}})} \frac{\partial[S(\omega_{\mathbf{p}})\alpha]}{\partial S(\mathbf{p})^j} \right] a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger,$$

$$\delta^B b_{\mathbf{p}} = -i\lambda^j \omega_{\mathbf{p}} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{p}^j} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{p}}} \frac{\partial[\omega_{\mathbf{p}}\alpha]}{\partial \mathbf{p}^j} \right] b_{\mathbf{p}},$$

$$\delta^B b_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger = -i\lambda^j S(\omega_{\mathbf{p}}) \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial S(\mathbf{p})^j} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{S(\omega_{\mathbf{p}})} \frac{\partial[S(\omega_{\mathbf{p}})S(\alpha)]}{\partial S(\mathbf{p})^j} \right] b_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger,$$

For the boosts, we obtain the charge

$$\mathcal{N}_i = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^3p \alpha \left\{ S(\omega_p) \left[\frac{\partial a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger}{\partial S(\mathbf{p})^i} a_{\mathbf{p}} - a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger \frac{\partial a_{\mathbf{p}}}{\partial S(\mathbf{p})^i} \right] + \omega_p \left[b_{\mathbf{p}} \frac{\partial b_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger}{\partial \mathbf{p}^i} - \frac{\partial b_{\mathbf{p}}}{\partial \mathbf{p}^i} b_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger \right] \right\}.$$

Notice: all the deformed charges satisfy the undeformed Poincaré algebra (checked by direct tedious computations).

However, the transformations of the creation/annihilation operators related to the above boost charge now correspond to a **non-trivial** transformation of the field!

If we translate the creation/annihilation operators transformations in terms of the field we get (at first order in $1/\kappa$)

$$\begin{aligned}\delta^B \phi(x) = & i\lambda_i x^i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi(x) \\ & - i\lambda_i \int \frac{d^3 p}{\sqrt{2\omega_{\mathbf{p}}}} \left\{ \frac{\mathbf{p}_i}{\kappa} \left(\frac{m^2}{4\omega_{\mathbf{p}}^2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) a_{\mathbf{p}} e^{-i(\omega_{\mathbf{p}}t - \mathbf{p}\mathbf{x})} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{\mathbf{p}_i}{\kappa} \left(-\frac{m^2}{4\omega_{\mathbf{p}}^2} - 1 \right) b_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger e^{-i(S(\omega_{\mathbf{p}})t - S(\mathbf{p})\mathbf{x})} \right\},\end{aligned}$$

Analogous relation for ϕ^\dagger , which means that particles and antiparticles receive an additional shift under boost.

Relation between CPT and boost charges

Although the undeformed Poincaré algebra is satisfied, non trivial relations arise! One can show that

$$[\mathcal{N}_i, C] \neq 0 \quad [\mathcal{N}_i, C] \xrightarrow{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

This is due to $p \neq -S(p)$, and translates (for example) into a **difference of decay times** for particles and antiparticles in a boosted frame.

$$\mathcal{P}_{\text{part}}(t) = \frac{\Gamma E}{M} \exp\left(-\Gamma \frac{E}{M} t\right)$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{\text{apart}}(t) = \Gamma \left(\frac{E}{M} - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{\kappa M}\right) \exp\left[-\Gamma \left(\frac{E}{M} - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{\kappa M}\right) t\right]$$

where \mathcal{P} = decay probability density function, and $\Gamma = 1/\tau$

Relation between CPT and boost charges

The Greenberg's theorem relates CPT invariance with Lorentz invariance of a theory. According to the theorem,

$$\text{Lorentz invariance} \Leftrightarrow \text{CPT invariance}$$

In our case, the action is manifestly invariant under deformed Lorentz invariance and CPT transformations. However, CPT symmetry is broken in a more subtle way (see previous slide).

Greenberg's theorem does **not** work: $p \neq -S(p)$.

Conclusion and future works

Conclusions and future works

- We have seen that particles and antiparticles behave differently. Possible experimental signature of this fact, particularly from $[\mathcal{N}_i, C] \neq 0$;

Conclusions and future works

- We have seen that particles and antiparticles behave differently. Possible experimental signature of this fact, particularly from $[\mathcal{N}_i, C] \neq 0$;
- $\mathcal{P}_{\text{part}}, \mathcal{P}_{\text{apart}}$ refer to single particle states. We need finite boosts on tensor product states (**soon on arXiv**). Using it, we can obtain results for $\psi \rightarrow K\bar{K}$ (**soon on arXiv**);

Conclusions and future works

- We have seen that particles and antiparticles behave differently. Possible experimental signature of this fact, particularly from $[\mathcal{N}_i, C] \neq 0$;
- $\mathcal{P}_{\text{part}}, \mathcal{P}_{\text{apart}}$ refer to single particle states. We need finite boosts on tensor product states (**soon on arXiv**). Using it, we can obtain results for $\psi \rightarrow K\bar{K}$ (**soon on arXiv**);
- We have a well defined theory \implies propagator and n -point functions (**soon on arXiv**: propagator and imaginary part to the loop correction to it);

Conclusions and future works

- We have seen that particles and antiparticles behave differently. Possible experimental signature of this fact, particularly from $[\mathcal{N}_i, C] \neq 0$;
- $\mathcal{P}_{\text{part}}, \mathcal{P}_{\text{apart}}$ refer to single particle states. We need finite boosts on tensor product states (**soon on arXiv**). Using it, we can obtain results for $\psi \rightarrow K\bar{K}$ (**soon on arXiv**);
- We have a well defined theory \implies propagator and n -point functions (**soon on arXiv**: propagator and imaginary part to the loop correction to it);
- Issue of the loop computations: non-planar vertices are difficult to evaluate. Possible solution: use group field theory formalism and braiding;

Conclusions and future works

- We have seen that particles and antiparticles behave differently. Possible experimental signature of this fact, particularly from $[\mathcal{N}_i, C] \neq 0$;
- $\mathcal{P}_{\text{part}}, \mathcal{P}_{\text{apart}}$ refer to single particle states. We need finite boosts on tensor product states (**soon on arXiv**). Using it, we can obtain results for $\psi \rightarrow K\bar{K}$ (**soon on arXiv**);
- We have a well defined theory \implies propagator and n -point functions (**soon on arXiv**: propagator and imaginary part to the loop correction to it);
- Issue of the loop computations: non-planar vertices are difficult to evaluate. Possible solution: use group field theory formalism and braiding;
- Immediate generalization: higher spins.

Thank you

One particle states

We now have all the tools to show that indeed particles and antiparticles behave differently.

How to see it?

- Since we have the translation charges (i.e. the operators \mathcal{P}_μ), we can apply them to the a -particle and b -particle states and get their eigenvalues. We will see that they are different;

We now have all the tools to show that indeed particles and antiparticles behave differently.

How to see it?

- Since we have the translation charges (i.e. the operators \mathcal{P}_μ), we can apply them to the a -particle and b -particle states and get their eigenvalues. We will see that they are different;
- Use \mathcal{C} to link the a -particle to the b -particle state. We will see that \mathcal{C} switches a particle with its antiparticle with *different* momentum.

One particle states

Define the vacuum by $a_{\mathbf{p}}|0\rangle = b_{\mathbf{p}^*}|0\rangle = 0$. We then define one-particle and one-antiparticle state by

$$a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger|0\rangle := |\mathbf{p}\rangle_a \quad b_{\mathbf{p}^*}^\dagger|0\rangle := |\mathbf{p}\rangle_b$$

Now we want to know $\mathcal{P}_\mu|\mathbf{p}\rangle_a$ and $\mathcal{P}_\mu|\mathbf{p}\rangle_b$.

$$[a_{\mathbf{p}}, a_{\mathbf{q}}^\dagger] = \frac{1}{\alpha} \delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_i |\mathbf{q}\rangle_a &= \int d^3 p \alpha \left\{ -a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{p}} S(\mathbf{p})_i + b_{\mathbf{p}^*}^\dagger b_{\mathbf{p}^*} \mathbf{p}_i \right\} a_{\mathbf{q}}^\dagger |0\rangle \\ &= \int d^3 p \alpha \left\{ -a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger \frac{1}{\alpha} \delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q}) S(\mathbf{p})_i + a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{q}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{p}} \mathbf{p}_i \right\} |0\rangle + 0 \\ &= -S(\mathbf{q})_i |\mathbf{q}\rangle_a \end{aligned}$$

Doing the same thing for all \mathcal{P}_μ we have

$$\mathcal{P}_i|\mathbf{p}\rangle_a = -S(\mathbf{p})_i|\mathbf{p}\rangle_a \quad \mathcal{P}_i|\mathbf{p}\rangle_b = \mathbf{p}_i|\mathbf{p}\rangle_b$$

$$\mathcal{P}_0|\mathbf{p}\rangle_a = -S(\omega_p)|\mathbf{p}\rangle_a \quad \mathcal{P}_0|\mathbf{p}\rangle_b = \omega_p|\mathbf{p}\rangle_b$$

Notice: $\mathbf{p} \neq -S(\mathbf{p})$ and $\omega_p \neq -S(\omega_p)$, but $p_\mu p^\mu = m^2$ and $S(p)_\mu S(p)^\mu = m^2$, so a -particle and b -particle have same mass.

One particle states

We can use \mathcal{C} to relate $|\mathbf{p}\rangle_a$ and $|\mathbf{p}\rangle_b$

$$\mathcal{C}|\mathbf{p}\rangle_b = \mathcal{C}b_{\mathbf{p}^*}^\dagger \mathcal{C}^{-1} \mathcal{C}|0\rangle = a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger |0\rangle = |\mathbf{p}\rangle_a$$

Very easy steps due to the simplicity of the \mathcal{C} transformation of our deformed field!

Therefore \mathcal{C} (and CPT) transforms a particle into an anti-particle with different momentum, and vice versa.